Bibliographic Description

Ecorchard, Gaël

Title

Static Accuracy Enhancement of Redundantly Actuated Parallel Kinematic Machine Tools

Dissertation at the Fakultät für Maschinenbau, Technische Universität Chemnitz, Institut für Werkzeugmaschinen und Produktionsprozesse and at the Institut National des Sciences Appliquées, Rennes, November 19, 2009.

201 pages101 figures34 tables151 bibliographic references

Short summary

Redundantly actuated parallel kinematic machines are a new type of mechanism derived from classical parallel kinematic machines by adding one or more redundant links. In this dissertation, new calibration methods have been developed to improve the static positioning of such machines. These methods are based on geometrical and elasto-geometrical modeling. The latter takes into account the elements' elastic deformations due to the redundancy.

Keywords

Redundantly actuated parallel kinematic machines, static accuracy, calibration, elasto-geometrical modeling

Abstract

Redundant parallel kinematic machines are parallel mechanisms to which one or more kinematic branch is added in order to improve their mechanical properties, in particular, their stiffness. Redundant parallel kinematic machines have then more actuators than their degree of freedom.

New calibration methods are developed in this thesis in order to deal with the particularities related to the actuation redundancy. First, calibration methods using geometrical models are tested. Several measurement systems and control models are compared. A self-calibration is also carried out, where the redundant branches are switched to a passive mode. Thus, they play the role of the measurement system and the mechanism can be calibrated without the help of extra sensors.

Geometrical calibration methods, however, do not take into account the internal constraints due to the redundancy. Elastic deformations are neglected although they are shown to have an influence on the positioning accuracy after the calibration.

Modeling methods are then developed that take into account the geometry of the mechanism as well as the stiffness of its elements to improve the accuracy of the calibration. With such modeling methods, it is possible to determine the tool-center-point position for redundantly actuated parallel mechanisms from geometrical and stiffness parameters and given positions for all actuators. The modeling methods are first demonstrated on a simple mechanism. They are then tested on a real machine and used in calibration processes.

Résumé

Amélioration de la précision statique des machines-outils à cinématique parallèle redondante

Les mécanismes à cinématique parallèle redondante sont des mécanismes parallèles auxquels a été ajoutée une branche cinématique, ou plus, dans le but d'améliorer leurs propriétés mécaniques, en particulier, leur rigidité. Les mécanismes à cinématique parallèle redondante possèdent donc plus d'actionneurs que leur degré de liberté.

De nouvelles méthodes d'étalonnage sont développées dans cette thèse afin de prendre en compte les spécificités liées à la redondance d'actionnement. Les méthodes d'étalonnage utilisant des modèles géométriques sont d'abord testées. Plusieurs systèmes de mesure et plusieurs modèles de contrôle sont comparés. Un auto-étalonnage est aussi réalisé. Pour cette méthode d'étalonnage, les actionneurs redondants sont mis en mode passif et jouent le rôle de système de mesure. Le mécanisme peut être étalonné sans ajout de codeurs.

Cependant, les méthodes d'étalonnage géométriques ne prennent pas en compte les contraintes internes liées à la redondance. Les déformations élastiques sont négligées bien qu'il soit montré qu'elles ont une influence sur la précision de positionnement après étalonnage.

Des méthodes de modélisation qui prennent en compte la géométrie du mécanisme ainsi que la rigidité des éléments sont donc développées pour améliorer la précision de l'étalonnage. Avec de telles méthodes, il est possible de déterminer la position de l'outil des mécanismes à redondance d'actionnement à partir de paramètres géométriques et élastiques et de la position de tous les actionneurs. Les méthodes de modélisation sont d'abord appliquées sur un mécanisme simple. Elles sont ensuite testées sur une machine réelle et utilisées dans des processus d'étalonnage.

Zusammenfassung

Verbesserung der statischen Genauigkeit von Werkzeugmaschinen mit redundanter Parallelkinematik

Mechanismen mit redundanter Parallelkinematik sind Parallelmechanismen, denen eine oder mehrere kinematischen Ketten zugefügt werden, um die mechanischen Eigenschaften, insbesondere die Steifigkeit, zu verbessern. Maschinen mit redundanter Parallelkinematik besitzen dann mehrere Antriebe als ihr Freiheitsgrad erforden würde.

In dieser Dissertation werden neue Kalibrierungsmethoden entwickelt, um die mit der Antriebsredundanz gebundenen Besonderheiten zu betrachten. Zuerst werden Kalibrierungsmethoden basierend auf geometrischen Modellen getestet. Verschiedene Messmethoden und Messsysteme werden verglichen. Eine Selbstkalibrierung wird durchgeführt. Bei dieser Kalibrierungsmethode werden die redundanten Antriebe freigeschaltet und als Messsystem genutzt. Die Maschine kann dadurch ohne externes Messsystem kalibriert werden.

Dennoch betrachten geometrische Kalibrierungsmethode keine internen Verspannungen, die mit der Redundanz verbundenen sind. Die elastischen Verformungen werden vernachlässigt, obwhol gezeigt wird, dass sie einen Einfluss auf die Positioniergenauigkeit nach der Kalibrierung haben.

Es werden deshalb Modellierungsmethoden entwickelt, die sowohl die Geometrie des Mechanismus als auch die Elementsteifigkeit betrachten, um die Genauigkeit der Kalibrierung zu verbessern. Mit solchen Methoden ist es möglich, die Werkzeugposition redundanter Parallelkinematiken aus den Geometrie- und Steifigkeitsparametern und allen Antriebspositionen zu bestimmen. Die Modellierungsmethoden werden zuerst an einem einfachen Mechanismus angewandt. Sie werden danach an einer realen Maschine getestet und in einem Kalibrierungsprozess genutzt.

Acknowledgements

With these few words I would like to express my gratitude to all persons and institutions who helped me to fulfill my thesis. In advance, I apologize to those who I might forget or not directly mention.

At first, I would like to greatly thank my two supervisors, Prof. Reimund Neugebauer for the German part and Prof. Vigen Arakelyan for the French one. They both accepted me as a student in their institution and, thus, took the risk and made the effort to support the fact that I decided to write my thesis as a cooperation between the University of Technology TU Chemnitz, and the National Institut for Applied Sciences INSA Rennes. I specially would linke to thank Prof. Neugebauer for its financial and technical support at the Fraunhofer Institut for Machine Tools and Forming Technology IWU Chemnitz. Without this financial support and without the fact that the Scissors-Kinematics, on which my work is based, was made available for my experiments my thesis would not have been possible.

Secondly, I would like my tutors Dr. Welf-Guntram Drossel and Dr. Patrick Maurine for their sensible advices and their availability despite their heavy workload. I would like to address Patrick a special thank for his closer technical exchanges.

For their financial support, I'm grateful to the German Academic Exchange Service DAAD and the French-German University UFA-DFH.

I would like to thank all my colleagues from the Frauhnofer IWU, the INSA and the IWP for their help and support and for making me good and pleasant work conditions. I also thank my friends for their support. A special thank goes particularly to Maria Bobrova who greatly helped me avoiding lots of English mistakes and unclarities, making my thesis less unreadable. I'm also grateful to all unknown friends of the Open Source Community who contributed in the softwares I used during my thesis, among others LaTeX & Friends, jEdit, Inkscape, The Gimp.

I'm also really thankful to my family, especially to my parents, for helping and encouraging me. I apologize for my choosing of writing my thesis too far from them, at least during a part of PhD studies. At last, I want to thank my son Goulven for motivating me, even though he was not aware of it, during the final phase of my thesis because I wanted to finish quickly to have more time with him. I apologize to him not having submitted my thesis before his birth, as was the first plan. The last person I want to thank is my wife Petra. I really want to express her my gratitude for her great day-to-day love, support and encouragements.

Contents

1	Introduction					
2	Stat	e of th	e Art	29		
	2.1	Redun	Idancy for Parallel Kinematic Machines	29		
		2.1.1	Redundancy Types for Parallel Manipulators	29		
		2.1.2	Research on Actuation Redundancy	32		
		2.1.3	Existing Mechanisms with Actuation Redundancy	33		
	2.2	Calibra	ation and Self-Calibration of Classical and Redundant PKMs	37		
		2.2.1	Existing Methods for the Calibration of PKMs	37		
		2.2.2	Existing Methods for the Self-Calibration of PKMs	39		
		2.2.3	Calibration of Redundant PKM	40		
	2.3	Elastic	Modeling Methods for PKMs	40		
		2.3.1	Use of the Jacobian Matrix	40		
		2.3.2	Lumped Models	41		
		2.3.3	Finite-Element Methods	42		
	2.4	Conclu	usion	43		
3	Cali	bratior	n Principle and Optimization Parameters	45		
	3.1	Calibration Principle				
		3.1.1	Error Sources	45		
		3.1.2	Specificity of the Calibration of Parallel Mechanisms against Serial			
			Ones	46		
		3.1.3	Formulation of the Least-Squares Problem	46		
	3.2	ization Algorithms	47			
		3.2.1	Existing Algorithms	49		
		3.2.2	Constrained and Unconstrained Optimization	51		
	3.3	ation-Compatible Modeling	52			
		3.3.1	Minimality, Completeness and Consistency of Models	52		
		3.3.2	Sensitivity Analysis	52		
		3.3.3	Equation System Conditioning	53		
		3.3.4	Jacobian Normalization	54		
		3.3.5	Determination of a Set of Identifiable Parameters	56		
3.4 Calibration Lead-Through						
		3.4.1	Elimination of Measurement Outliers	57		
		3.4.2	Calibration Certification	57		
		3.4.3	Parameter Dependencies	58		
		3.4.4	Final Improvement	59		

4	Exte	ernal and Autonomous Geometrical Calibrations of Redundantly Actu-					
	ateo	Parallel Kinematic Machines 61	1				
	4.1	Presentation of the Scissors-Kinematics	I				
		4.1.1 Simple Model	1				
		4.1.2 Complete Planar Model	3				
	4.2	Model Identification through External Calibration	2				
		4.2.1 Calibration Methods	3				
		4.2.2 Jacobian Matrix	ō				
		4.2.3 Sensitivity Analysis	7				
		4.2.4 Observability Analysis	3				
	4.3	Model Identification through Self-Calibration	5				
		4.3.1 Self-Calibration Method	5				
		4.3.2 Jacobian Matrix	7				
		4.3.3 Sensitivity Analysis	7				
		4.3.4 Observability Analysis	Э				
	4.4	Experimental Validation)				
		4.4.1 Calibration with the Laser-Tracker	2				
		4.4.2 Calibration with the Grid-Encoder	3				
		4.4.3 Calibration with the Double Ball-Bar	õ				
		4.4.4 Calibration with the Laser-Interferometer	7				
		4.4.5 Influence of the Platform Angle Measurements	3				
		4.4.6 Self-Calibration	2				
	4.5	Synthesis and Conclusion	4				
5	Static Elastic Deformation Models of Redundantly Actuated Parallel Kine-						
	mat	IC Mechanisms 10/	/				
	5.1	Statistical Method	9				
	5.2	Least-Squares Minimization Method	0				
	5.3		1				
	5.4		3				
		5.4.1 Rod Modeling	4				
		5.4.2 Joint Modeling	6				
		5.4.3 Structure Assembly	/				
		5.4.4 Modeling of the Base Links	9				
		5.4.5 Resolution	3				
	5.5	Actuator-Displacements Method	3				
	5.6	Structure-Division Method	5				
	5./	Joint-Internal-Forces Method	8				
	5.8	I hermo-Mechanical Model	U				
	5.9	Method Comparison and Conclusion	1				
		5.9.1 Method Comparison	1				
		5.9.2 Conclusion	1				

6	Calibration of the Scissors-Kinematics with Consideration of its Elastic Be-					
	havi	ior	135			
	6.1	Elastic Modeling of the Scissors-Kinematics	136			
		6.1.1 Statistical Method	136			
		6.1.2 Least-Square Minimization Method	138			
		6.1.3 Lumped Elastic Models	138			
		6.1.4 Finite-Element Methods	142			
		6.1.5 Actuator-Displacements Method	144			
		6.1.6 Structure-Division Method	146			
		6.1.7 Joint-Internal-Forces Method	150			
		6.1.8 Thermo-Mechanical Model	151			
		6.1.9 Method Comparison	151			
	6.2	Calibration with Elasto-Geometrical Models	153			
		6.2.1 Calibration Method	153			
		6.2.2 Jacobian Matrix	154			
		6.2.3 Sensitivity Analysis	156			
		6.2.4 Observability Analysis	158			
	6.3	Experimental Validation	159			
		6.3.1 Validation of the Elasto-Geometrical Models	159			
		6.3.2 Calibration with the Laser-Tracker	161			
		6.3.3 Calibration with the Grid-Encoder	163			
		6.3.4 Calibration with the Double Ball-Bar	164			
		6.3.5 Calibration with the Laser-Interferometer	164			
	6.4	Synthesis and Conclusion	165			
7	Con	clusion	167			
•	7.1	General Conclusion	167			
	7.2	Recommendations	168			
	7.3 Perspectives and future work		168			
Α	Inte	rsection of Two Circles	183			
в	Calc	ulation of the Jacobian Matrix for Calibrations	185			
	B.1	Differential of the Closure-Loop Equations	185			
	B.2	Inverse Kinematic Calibrations	188			
	B.3	Calibration with the Double Ball-Bar	188			
	B.4	Calculation of the Jacobian Matrix for the Self-Calibration Method \ldots .	189			
c	Results of the Circular Tests 101					
-	C.1	Calibration with the laser-tracker and model 24	192			
	C.2	Calibration with the laser-tracker and model 29	193			
	C.3	Calibration with the grid-encoder and model 24	194			
	C.4	Calibration with the grid-encoder and model 29	195			
	C.5	Calibration with the laser-tracker, platform angle measurements and model 2	29196			
A B C	Inte Calc B.1 B.2 B.3 B.4 Result C.1 C.2 C.3 C.4 C.5	rsection of Two Circles Culation of the Jacobian Matrix for Calibrations Differential of the Closure-Loop Equations Inverse Kinematic Calibrations Calibration with the Double Ball-Bar Calculation of the Jacobian Matrix for the Self-Calibration Method Calculation of the Jacobian Matrix for the Self-Calibration Method Calibration with the laser-tracker and model 24 Calibration with the laser-tracker and model 29 Calibration with the grid-encoder and model 29 Calibration with the laser-tracker, platform angle measurements and model 24	 183 185 188 188 189 191 192 193 194 195 29196 			

C.6	Calibration with the grid-encoder,	platform	angle	measurements and	
	model 29				197
C.7	Self-calibration with model 24				198
C.8	Self-calibration with model 29				199